UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,594	01/20/2004	Daishi Suzuki	42530-6400	1096
	7590 11/12/200 MER LLP (OC)	EXAMINER		
600 ANTON B	, ,	SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A		
SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CA 92626			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/760,594	SUZUKI, DAISHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO	3653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE A MONTH!	O) OD TUUDTY (OO) DAYO					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ılv 2008.						
	action is non-final.						
·							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••					

Application/Control Number: 10/760,594 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma et al (US 6,486,464 B1).
- 3. Regarding Claims 1-9, Ma discloses a document verification system (30), as illustrated in figure 2, having a first transmitting sensor having a first emitter (34), a first radiation receiving section (44) on a second side, and a first reflecting sensor (40) having a second radiation reflection receiving section on the first side of the document passageway.

Further regarding Claims 1-9, Ma discloses a document verification system (170), as illustrated in figure 11, having emitters (172, 174) each facing respective radiation sensors (176, 178), thus forming emitter/sensor pairs, each pair located on an axis that is slanted with respect to the horizontal as represented by document (179). Note that each axis intersects in a "criss-cross" fashion, as illustrated in figure 11.

Further regarding Claims1-9 and 11, Ma additionally discloses a control circuit as illustrated in figure 3 that is driven by pulsed signal (100) as illustrated in figure 4, which causes the synchronized activation of the LED emitters for the purpose of preventing interference between emitters and the various radiation receivers, i.e., sensors.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have added a second radiation receiver to an emitter/sensor pair, said second radiation receiver located on the same side as the emitter, for the purpose of capturing radiation reflected from the document and towards the first side.

One ordinarily skilled in the art would have recognized that from Ma's figure 2 that adding such a second sensor on the same side as the emitter of a pair as illustrated in figure 11 would capture such reflected radiation in that embodiment.

Regarding Claim 10, Ma discloses each emitter emitting radiation of different frequencies at col. 14, lines 46-50.

Regarding Claim 12, Ma discloses a "comparator component" (168) which compares the sensed values with "threshold" values stored in memory. See col. 11, lines 40-57.

4. Claims 7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma et al (US 6,486,464 B1) in view of Chen (US 2002/0033968 A1).

Ma discloses the device described above.

5. Regarding Claims 7 and 13, Ma does not expressly disclose, but Chen discloses using a standard calibration paper to calibrate a light scanning device, such as disclosed by Ma, for the purpose of improving the quality of the scan in the areas of color, brightness and contrast. See Chen at abstract and paragraphs 8 and 9.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a standard calibration paper in Ma's bill scanning device for the Art Unit: 3653

purpose of calibrating the light emitters, i.e., light scanners and therefore increase the quality of the scan in the areas of color, brightness and contrast.

Regarding Claim 14, official notice is taken that it would have been obvious to incorporate an "initial setting button" for the purpose of calibrating the light sensors/scanners of Ma's light scanning device.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Ma does not teach that the amount of light emitted by a first or second light emitting section should be adjusted based on the light received by the first or second light receiving section. However, Ma teaches at col. 11, line 53-Col. 12, line 6, that light sensed by a detector, i.e., a light receiving section, such as Ma's detector (148) is adjusted based upon the amount of light emitted by emitter, i.e., light emitting section (152) is adjusted by a signal conditioner (160). See figure 9 and col. 11, lines 1-20 of Ma. Ma further states in col. 11, lines 53-67 that such adjustment is performed in order to detect "double sheets" passing by the emitters. Col. 12, lines 1-6 also mentions detection of single, multiple or overlapped sheets.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/760,594 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,594 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey A. Shapiro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3653

November 9, 2008